

1 BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC.
Francis A. Bottini, Jr. (SBN 175783)
2 fbottini@bottinilaw.com
Yury A. Kolesnikov (SBN 271173)
3 ykolesnikov@bottinilaw.com
7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102
4 La Jolla, California 92037
Telephone: (858) 914-2001
5 Facsimile: (858) 914-2002

6 JOHNSON & WEAVER, LLP
Frank J. Johnson (SBN 174882)
7 frankj@johnsonandweaver.com
600 West Broadway, Suite 1540
8 San Diego, California 92101
Telephone: (619) 230-0063
9 Facsimile: (619) 255-1856

10 *Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*

11

12

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

13

COUNTY OF YOLO

14

IN RE MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC.
DERIVATIVE LITIGATION

Lead Case No. CV14-1481
(Consolidated with CV15-1423)

15

16

**NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF PROPOSED
SETTLEMENT OF STOCKHOLDER
DERIVATIVE ACTION**

17

18

EXHIBIT B-1

19

Complaint Filed: September 9, 2014

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **TO: ALL CURRENT RECORD HOLDERS AND BENEFICIAL OWNERS OF THE**
2 **COMMON STOCK OF MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC. ("MBII") AS OF**
3 **NOVEMBER 15, 2016 ("CURRENT MBII STOCKHOLDERS") (EXCLUDING**
4 **DEFENDANTS) AND THEIR SUCCESSORS-IN-INTEREST.**

5 **PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY AS YOUR**
6 **RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED BY PROCEEDINGS IN THE LITIGATION.**

7 **THIS NOTICE RELATES TO A PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF STOCKHOLDER**
8 **DERIVATIVE ACTIONS AND CLAIMS ASSERTED ON BEHALF OF MBII.**

9 **IF THE COURT APPROVES THE SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSAL OF THE**
10 **ACTIONS, STOCKHOLDERS OF MBII WILL BE FOREVER BARRED FROM**
11 **CONTESTING THE APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AND**
12 **FROM PURSUING THE SETTLED CLAIMS.**

13 **THIS ACTION IS NOT A "CLASS ACTION." THUS, THERE IS NO COMMON**
14 **FUND UPON WHICH YOU CAN MAKE A CLAIM FOR A MONETARY**
15 **PAYMENT.**

16 **YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED** that the above-captioned consolidated stockholder
17 derivative action (the "State Derivative Action," and together with the "Federal Derivative Action
18 (defined herein), the "Actions") are being settled on the terms set forth in the Stipulation of
19 Settlement dated as of November 15, 2016 (the "Stipulation"). This Notice is provided by Order of
20 the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Yolo (the "Court"). It is not an expression
21 of any opinion by the Court with respect to the truth of the allegations in the Actions or the merits
22 of the claims or defenses asserted by or against any party. It is solely to notify you of the terms of
23 the proposed Settlement, and your rights related thereto. The Court has made no findings or
24 determinations concerning the merits of the Actions. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined shall
25 have the definitions set forth in the Stipulation, which may be reviewed and downloaded at
26 <http://investors.marronebio.com/>.

27 **I. WHY THE COURT HAS ISSUED THIS NOTICE**

28 Your rights may be affected by the Settlement of the Actions. The parties to the Actions
have agreed upon terms to settle the Actions and have signed the Stipulation setting forth those
settlement terms.

1 II. SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

2 A. Litigation History

3 On September 9, 2014, the action styled *Mandelberg v. Pamela G. Marrone, et al.*,
4 No. CV14-1481 ("*Mandelberg Action*") was filed in the Superior Court of California, County of
5 Yolo. On October 22, 2014, based on the factual overlap with the related securities class action
6 styled *Special Situations Fund III QP, L.P., et al. v. Marrone Bio Innovations, Inc., et al.*, Master
7 File 2:14-cv-2571-MCE-KJN (E.D. Cal.) ("*Securities Class Action*"), pending in the United States
8 District Court for the Eastern District of California—in an effort to preserve the resources of the
9 parties in the *Mandelberg Action* and the Court—the parties in the *Mandelberg Action* executed
10 and filed with the Court a Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Temporarily Deferring
11 Prosecution of Derivative Action. This stipulation requested that the Court defer prosecution of the
12 *Mandelberg Action* until such time as: (a) the Defendants filed an answer to any complaint in the
13 Securities Class Action; or (b) the Securities Class Action was dismissed in its entirety with
14 prejudice; or (c) as otherwise ordered by the Court (including a further deferral of these
15 proceedings), whichever occurred first. The Court granted this stipulation on October 28, 2014.

16 On October 14, 2015, a related action styled *Zeller v. Pamela G. Marrone, et al.*, No. CV15-
17 1423 ("*Zeller Action*") was filed, also in the Superior Court of California, County of Yolo. The
18 *Zeller Action* involves some of the same parties and factual allegations as the Securities Class
19 Action, the *Mandelberg Action*, and the Federal Derivative Action (discussed herein), but also
20 names as additional defendants the Company's auditor Ernst & Young LLP ("EY") and Hector
21 Absi, MBII's former Chief Operating Officer.

22 On August 31, 2016, the parties in the *Mandelberg Action* and *Zeller Action* filed a
23 Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Consolidating Cases and Appointing Lead Counsel. On
24 September 2, 2016, the Court entered an order consolidating the *Zeller Action* with the *Mandelberg*
25 Action and appointed Johnson & Weaver, LLP and Bottini & Bottini, Inc. as Lead Counsel for
26 Plaintiffs.

1 **B. The Federal Derivative Action**

2 On November 25, 2014, a related action styled *Spencer v. Pamela G. Marrone, et al.*,
3 No. 14-cv-2779 (E.D. Cal.) ("*Spencer* Action" or the "Federal Derivative Action") was filed in the
4 United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. The *Spencer* Action is also a
5 stockholder derivative action on behalf of MBII and involves some of the same parties and factual
6 allegations as the Securities Class Action, the *Mandelberg* Action, and the *Zeller* Action. Following
7 the filing of the *Spencer* Action, on December 23, 2014, the parties to the *Spencer* Action agreed to
8 defer prosecution pending further progress in the Securities Class Action. The Court granted this
9 stipulation on December 24, 2014.

10 While the Actions were temporarily deferred, Plaintiffs' Counsel continued to monitor the
11 proceedings in the Securities Class Action, continued to track the Company's filings with the
12 United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), and continued to monitor relevant
13 Company press releases, and in particular, press releases issued and SEC filings made in connection
14 with the Company's continued investigation into the alleged accounting improprieties and related
15 restatement of the Company's financial results.

16 **C. Settlement Efforts**

17 In and around January 2016, MBII, the Individual Defendants, the Securities Class Action
18 plaintiffs, and the Plaintiffs in the Actions agreed to participate in mediation with Jed Melnick, Esq.
19 ("Mediator") in New York, New York on April 4, 2016. In light of the upcoming mediation, on
20 March 21, 2016, counsel in the *Mandelberg* Action and *Zeller* Action sent counsel for MBII and the
21 Individual Defendants a detailed settlement demand letter outlining a proposed framework for
22 settlement including detailed proposed corporate governance reforms, and concurrently submitted a
23 mediation brief to MBII, the Individual Defendants, and the Mediator. Plaintiff in the Federal
24 Derivative Action also submitted a mediation brief.

25 On April 4, 2016, MBII, the Individual Defendants, MBII's insurance carriers, the Securities
26 Class Action plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs in the Actions, participated in an all-day, in-person mediation
27 session with the Mediator. The parties engaged in arm's-length, good faith settlement negotiations
28 and discussions regarding, *inter alia*, a proposed set of corporate governance reforms that would be

1 in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders and could serve as the basis for settlement.
2 In connection with the settlement discussions, Plaintiffs also took the position that any settlement of
3 the Securities Class Action should not require MBII to contribute cash or stock to that settlement,
4 and that any settlement proceeds should come exclusively from the insurance policies purchased for
5 the benefit of MBII and MBII's Directors and Officers (the "Policies"). Additionally, Plaintiffs
6 took the position that MBII should no longer advance certain defense costs and that such reasonable
7 attorneys' fees and expenses should be paid by the Policies and not by MBII. Despite arm's-length
8 negotiations with the assistance and involvement of the Mediator, Plaintiffs in the Actions and the
9 Individual Defendants were unable to reach an agreement to resolve the Actions at the April 4, 2016
10 mediation. However, MBII, the Individual Defendants, and the Securities Class Action plaintiffs
11 were able to reach an agreement-in-principle to settle that action, and consistent with Plaintiffs'
12 demand that no contribution of cash or stock from MBII be made as part of the Securities Class
13 Action settlement, all the settlement proceeds in the Securities Class Action came exclusively from
14 the Policies. Further, the Securities Class Action plaintiffs did not obtain any corporate governance
15 reforms for the benefit of MBII.

16 Following the mediation, Plaintiffs continued intensive, arm's-length discussions with the
17 Individual Defendants with the assistance of the Mediator. These discussions spanned many
18 months and included numerous telephonic conference calls and emails during which various
19 proposals concerning corporate governance reforms were made, as well as proposals concerning
20 other settlement terms which would form the basis of any settlement achieved in the Actions. These
21 discussions took place from April 2016 through July 2016.

22 On or about July 26, 2016, the Mediator made a confidential mediator's proposal that, in his
23 opinion, reflected an appropriate compromise between the respective positions, and balanced the
24 relative strengths of Plaintiffs' allegations, the inherent risks of complex litigation, and the potential
25 benefits to the Company of a settlement. On July 27, 2016, after careful consideration, the
26 Mediator's proposal was accepted and an agreement-in-principle reached to settle the Actions upon
27 the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Stipulation. As part of the Settlement, MBII
28 agreed to produce and in fact did produce certain confidential, non-privileged documents to

1 Plaintiffs' Counsel for their review to confirm that the Settlement was fair, reasonable, adequate,
2 and in the best interests of MBII and its stockholders.

3 **III. TERMS OF THE PROPOSED DERIVATIVE SETTLEMENT**

4 As a result of the filing, prosecution, and settlement of the Actions, Plaintiffs obtained relief
5 for MBII by causing MBII's Board of Directors to agree to, within sixty (60) days from the
6 Effective Date of the Settlement, adopt and/or maintain for a period of no less than four (4) years
7 from the Effective Date of the Settlement certain material Corporate Governance Reforms. The
8 Corporate Governance Reforms include:

9 (a) limiting director and committee engagements outside the Company to no more
10 than three (3) other public companies without the approval of the Nominating and Corporate
11 Governance Committee;

12 (b) amending the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter to
13 require the Committee to evaluate all stockholder proposals, to determine whether each proposal is
14 in the Company's best interests, to recommend to the Board whether to support or oppose each
15 proposal, and to provide the reasons for its recommendation, and for each such stockholder proposal
16 that is set forth in a Proxy Statement, the Board will recommend for, against, or will state that it
17 takes no position;

18 (c) amending MBII's Audit Committee Charter to state that: (1) no director will
19 serve as chair of the Audit Committee for more than six (6) years; (2) the Audit Committee will
20 review all "material, proposed compliance-related" disclosures in the "Management's Discussion
21 and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation" section of the Company's annual
22 and quarterly financial statements; and (3) if at least one new director has not been added to the
23 Audit Committee, whether as an additional member or as a replacement member, during a three (3)
24 year period, the Nominating and Governance Committee will review the composition of the Audit
25 Committee and make a recommendation regarding the retention or rotation of current members;

26 (d) revising the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics concerning
27 reporting of improper or illegal activity to the Company's General Counsel, Corporate Compliance
28 Officer, or other relevant authority;

1 (e) further defining the duties and responsibilities of the Corporate Compliance
2 Officer;

3 (f) requiring training sessions to appropriate Company personnel including:
4 (1) training to the leadership team and all employees in the sales, product management and business
5 development, marketing, supply chain, and finance departments on a periodic basis, concerning the
6 Company's revenue recognition and accounting policies, including, among other things, proper
7 transactional terms, prohibited transactional terms, and the approval process for additional or non-
8 standard terms; (2) training for all employees on the Company's Code of Business Conduct and
9 Ethics, whistleblower policy (including how to access the whistleblower hotline and the Company's
10 anti-retaliation policy), travel and expense policy, the significant and important roles of the finance
11 department and external auditors for a public company, the importance of providing information to
12 the finance department in a transparent and open manner, and the Company's requirements
13 regarding expense reporting; and (3) training, on an annual basis, to the sales organization regarding
14 the Company's revenue recognition policy;

15 (g) formalizing the Disclosure and Controls Committee and defining the
16 responsibilities of the Disclosure and Controls Committee;

17 (h) requiring MBII to publish its revised Code of Business Conduct and Ethics policy
18 on its website;

19 (i) causing modifications to the Company's travel and expense and revenue
20 recognition policies, as well as internal control modifications and enhanced review of the
21 Company's outside vendors; and

22 (j) requiring MBII to maintain these Corporate Governance Reforms for a period of
23 no less than four (4) years following the Effective Date of the Settlement.

24 The full text of the Corporate Governance Reforms are set forth in Exhibit A to the
25 Stipulation, which may be found at <http://investors.marronebio.com/>.

26 Additionally, the Company and the Board acknowledge that: (i) Plaintiffs in the Actions
27 sought the appointment of new, independent directors to the Board, and that during the pendency of
28 the Actions, three new directors were appointed to the Board; (ii) consistent with Plaintiffs' demand

1 that no contribution of cash or stock from MBII be made as part of the Securities Class Action
2 settlement, all the settlement proceeds in the Securities Class Action came exclusively from the
3 Policies; and (iii) Plaintiffs advocated for and participated in the facilitation of a release of certain
4 claims against MBII.

5 The Settlement also provides for the entry of the Judgment dismissing the Actions on the
6 merits with prejudice, and the release of the Released Claims as detailed in the Stipulation.

7 **IV. REASONS FOR THE SETTLEMENT**

8 The Settling Parties have determined that it is desirable and beneficial that the Actions, and
9 all of the disputes related thereto, be fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms and
10 conditions set forth in the Stipulation.

11 **A. Why Did Plaintiffs Agree to Settle?**

12 Plaintiffs' Counsel conducted an extensive investigation relating to the claims and the
13 underlying events and transactions alleged in the Actions. Plaintiffs believe that the Actions have
14 substantial merit, and Plaintiffs' entry into the Stipulation is not intended to be and shall not be
15 construed as an admission or concession concerning the relative strength or merit of the claims
16 alleged in the Actions. However, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel recognize and acknowledge the
17 significant risk, expense, and length of continued proceedings necessary to prosecute the Actions
18 against the Individual Defendants through trial and through possible appeals. Plaintiffs' Counsel
19 also have taken into account the uncertain outcome and the risk of any litigation, especially in
20 complex cases such as the Actions, as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation.
21 Plaintiffs' Counsel are also mindful of the inherent problems of establishing demand futility, and
22 the possible defenses to the claims alleged in the Actions.

23 Based on Plaintiffs' Counsel's thorough review and analysis of the relevant facts,
24 allegations, defenses, and controlling legal principles, Plaintiffs' Counsel believe that the Settlement
25 set forth in the Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and confers substantial benefits upon
26 MBII and its stockholders. Based upon Plaintiffs' Counsel's evaluation, Plaintiffs have determined
27 that the Settlement is in the best interests of MBII and Current MBII Stockholders and have agreed
28 to settle the Actions upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Stipulation.

1 **B. Why Did Defendants Agree to Settle?**

2 Defendants have denied and continue to deny each and all of the claims and allegations of
3 wrongdoing made by Plaintiffs in the Actions and maintain furthermore that they have meritorious
4 defenses. Defendants expressly have denied and continue to deny all charges of wrongdoing or
5 liability against them arising out of any of the conduct, statements, acts or omissions alleged, or that
6 could have been alleged, in the Actions, and Defendants contend that many of the allegations in the
7 complaints filed in the Actions are materially inaccurate. The Defendants also have denied and
8 continue to deny, among other allegations, the allegations that Plaintiffs, MBII or its stockholders
9 have suffered damage or that Plaintiffs, MBII or its stockholders were harmed in any way by the
10 conduct alleged in the Actions or otherwise. Defendants have further asserted that at all times they
11 acted in good faith and, as applicable, in a manner reasonably believed to be and that was in the best
12 interests of MBII and its stockholders. Nonetheless, Defendants have concluded that further
13 litigation of the Actions would be protracted and expensive, and that it is desirable that the Actions
14 be fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the
15 Stipulation. Defendants also have taken into account the uncertainty and risks inherent in any
16 litigation, especially in complex cases like the Actions. Further, the Individual Defendants and
17 MBII acknowledge that the Settlement confers substantial and material benefits on MBII and its
18 stockholders and is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of MBII and its stockholders.

19 **V. PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FEE AND EXPENSE AMOUNT AND PLAINTIFFS'**
20 **SERVICE AWARDS**

21 Plaintiffs' Counsel have not received any payment for their work in connection with the
22 Actions, nor have they been reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses. After negotiating the
23 substantive terms of the Settlement, the parties discussed a fair and reasonable sum to be paid to
24 Plaintiffs' Counsel for attorneys' fees and expenses to compensate them for their work in the case
25 and the substantial benefits provided by the Settlement to MBII, with the assistance of the Mediator.
26 The Mediator recommended \$600,000 as a fair and reasonable amount for such fees and expenses,
27 and Defendants have agreed not to oppose an application by Plaintiffs' Counsel to the Court for an
28

1 award not to exceed this amount. Any fee awarded by the Court is designed to compensate
2 Plaintiffs' Counsel for the results achieved in the Actions and the risks of undertaking the
3 prosecution of the Actions on a contingent basis. Any fee award shall be paid by the Individual
4 Defendants' insurance carriers.

5 In addition, Plaintiffs' Counsel may apply to the Court for a service award of up to \$1,500
6 for each of the Plaintiffs, only to be paid upon Court approval, in recognition of Plaintiffs'
7 participation and effort in the prosecution of the Actions (the "Service Award"). The Service
8 Award, if approved by the Court, shall be paid to Plaintiffs out of the Fee and Expense Amount.

9 **VI. SETTLEMENT HEARING**

10 Pursuant to an Order of the Court, a hearing will be held on APRIL 5, 2017, at
11 7:00 a.m., before the Honorable ~~Timothy L. Fall~~ ^{SAMUEL T. McPATRICK}, at 1000 Main St., Civil Department ~~11~~ ¹²
12 Woodland, California 95695, for the purpose of determining: (a) whether the proposed Settlement,
13 including the requested Fee and Expense Amount and Service Award, should be approved by the
14 Court as fair, reasonable, and adequate; and (b) whether the Actions should be dismissed with
15 prejudice. If the Settlement is approved, you will be subject to and bound by the provisions of the
16 Stipulation, the releases contained therein, and by all orders, determinations, and judgments,
17 including the Judgment in the Actions concerning the Settlement.

18 Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, no Current MBII
19 Stockholder, either directly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, shall commence
20 or prosecute against any of the Released Persons, any action or proceeding in any court,
21 administrative agency, or other tribunal asserting agency any of the Released Claims.

22 **VII. RIGHT TO ATTEND THE SETTLEMENT HEARING**

23 You may enter an appearance in this consolidated action, at your own expense, individually
24 or through counsel of your choice. If you do not enter an appearance, you will be represented by
25 Plaintiffs' Counsel. If you want to object at the Settlement Hearing, then you must first comply
26 with the procedures for objecting, which are set forth below. The Court has the right to change the
27 hearing date or time without further notice. Thus, if you are planning to attend the Settlement
28 Hearing, you should confirm the date and time with Plaintiffs' Counsel (*see* below) before going to

1 the Court. If you have no objection to the Settlement, you do not need to appear at the Settlement
2 Hearing or take any other action.

3 **VIII. THE PROCEDURES FOR OBJECTING TO THE SETTLEMENT**

4 Any Current MBII Stockholder who wishes to object to the Settlement and/or show cause
5 why it should not be approved, why the Judgment should or should not be entered thereon, or why
6 the Fee and Expense Amount or the Service Award should not be awarded shall state all reasons for
7 the objection(s) and shall also: (A) state the case name and number: *In re Marrone Bio Innovations,*
8 *Inc. Derivative Litigation*, Lead Case No. CV14-1481; (B) provide proof of current ownership of
9 MBII stock as well as documentary evidence of when such stock ownership was acquired;
10 (C) clearly identify any and all evidence that would be presented at the Settlement Hearing in
11 connection with such objection(s); (D) identify any case—by name, court, and docket number—in
12 which the objector or his, her, or its attorney, if any, has objected to a settlement in the last three
13 years; and (E) include a proof of service signed under penalty of perjury.

14 All objections and accompanying materials shall be filed and served at least fourteen (14)
15 calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing as follows: (A) personally filed with the Clerk of the
16 Court, Superior Court of the State of California, County of Yolo, 1000 Main St., Woodland,
17 California 95695; and (B) served by first class U.S. Mail on counsel for the Settling Parties at the
18 following addresses:

19 For Defendants

20 MORRISON / FOERSTER
21 Judson Lobdell
22 425 Market Street
23 San Francisco, CA 94105

24 For Plaintiffs

25 JOHNSON & WEAVER, LLP
26 Frank J. Johnson
27 600 West Broadway, Suite 1540
28 San Diego, CA 92101

BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC.

Francis A. Bottini, Jr.
7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102
La Jolla, CA 92037

25 Any Current MBII Stockholder wishing to be heard at the Settlement Hearing is required to
26 include a notice of intention to appear at the Settlement Hearing together with his, her, or its written
27 objection. Only stockholders who have filed with the Court and served on the Settling Parties'
28

1 counsel valid and timely written notices of objection and accompanying materials will be entitled to
2 be heard at the hearing, unless the Court orders otherwise.

3 Any Current MBII Stockholder who does not make his, her, or its objection in the manner
4 provided in this Notice shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be
5 foreclosed from making any objection to the fairness, reasonableness or adequacy of the proposed
6 Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation, to the Fee and Expense Amount, and Service Award to
7 Plaintiffs.

8 **IX. HOW TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

9 This Notice summarizes the Stipulation. It is not a complete statement of the events of the
10 Actions or the terms of the Settlement contained in the Stipulation.

11 You may inspect the Stipulation and other papers in the Actions at the Clerk of the Court,
12 Superior Court of the State of California, County of Yolo, 1000 Main St., Woodland, California
13 95695, at any time during regular business hours of each business day. The Clerk's office will not
14 mail copies to you. In addition, this Notice and the Stipulation can be viewed on the Company's
15 website at: <http://investors.marronebio.com/>. Both the Notice and Stipulation were also filed as part
16 of a Form 8-K with the SEC. Inquiries regarding the proposed Settlement also may be made to lead
17 counsel for Defendants or State Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel as follows:

18 For Defendants

19 MORRISON / FOERSTER
20 Judson Lobdell
21 425 Market Street
22 San Francisco, CA 94105
23 Telephone: (415) 268-7000

For Plaintiffs

JOHNSON & WEAVER, LLP
Frank J. Johnson
600 West Broadway, Suite 1540
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 230-0063

BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC.

Francis A. Bottini, Jr.
7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102
La Jolla, CA 92037
Telephone: (858) 914-2001

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE COURT OR THE CLERK'S OFFICE
REGARDING THIS NOTICE.**

DATED: 1/11/17

**BY ORDER OF THE COURT
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF YOLO**